TMP CHECKLIST

Purpose: To make a preliminary determination of whether the following issues are present or should be considered during project development through a more detailed TMP.

Project Name and Number/PIN: Orange – Topsham STP FPAV(56)

Initial Project Significance Level (as determined in Table 4): Category C

Project Manager during Project Definition:

Name: Brandon W. Kipp, P.E.	Date:	8/16/2	2024
-----------------------------	-------	--------	------

Modified or Approved by (Project Manager at Preliminary Design for Significant Projects):

Name:Brandon W. Kipp, P.E.Date:8/16/2024

Modified or Approved by (Project Manager at PS&E for Significant Projects):

Name: Brandon W. Kipp, P.E.

Date: 8/16/2024

Project Description (Location, Activity, Anticipated Duration): US Route 302 Orange-Topsham, pavement resurfacing and other highway related items, one construction season.

		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
1.	Does the project require a long-term (greater than 3 days) ¹ lane or roadway/bridge closure?		\square			
2.	Are there any restrictions or considerations regarding construction timeframes due to traffic concerns (e.g., time of day, site specific time of year limits)?	\boxtimes				Yes, night work is prohibited; Harvest time shall be considered and coordinated with local farmers; Summer events.
3.	Can typical applications for traffic control be used? Are there any limitations to when typical applications can be used (time of year, times, days)?	\boxtimes				Yes, typical applications can be used.
4.	Is there a sidewalk, pedestrian/bicycle lane, path, trail, or access that needs to be maintained during construction?					
5.	Is a speed reduction proposed (consistent with state guidance)?		\boxtimes			
6.	Will temporary roadways or additional width be needed on culverts, bridges, or shoulders to maintain traffic?					
7.	Will construction impact access to businesses?		\boxtimes			

		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
8.	Are there other projects (utility, district maintenance, construction, municipal) in the area that should be coordinated or avoided?	\boxtimes				Barre Town-Orange STP PS23(1)
9.	Will/Can the traffic be reasonably detoured? If no or N/A, proceed to #10. If yes or possibly:				\boxtimes	
	 a. Is the detour route roadway type equivalent to closed roadway? 					
	b. Is the local alternate detour route in good condition?					
	c. Will the detour route have a detrimental impact on emergency vehicles, school buses, or other sensitive traffic?					
	d. Are there load limit restrictions on the detour?					
	e. Are there bridge/culvert width or height restrictions on the detour?					
	f. Are modifications needed at intersections on detour/alternate routes?					
10	Will traffic signal timing need to be adjusted for the project (with or without a detour)?				\boxtimes	
11	Are there truck facilities or routes that would be impacted by the project or by a detour (turning radii, weight restrictions, etc.)?					
12	Are there special events or traffic generators (schools and bus routes, large employers, hospitals) that may be affected by the project and/or detour?					
13	Will the emergency vehicle routing, mail delivery, school bus routes, or trash services be interrupted by the project (with or without a detour)?					School buses, delivery, and trash services will not be impacted by the project. Although minor delays are expected due to construction, bus routes and services are to be maintained.
14	Are there specific stakeholders to engage regarding the work zone impacts?		\boxtimes			
15	Does the project occur within a high crash location?		\boxtimes			
16	Are there other maintenance of traffic issues to consider? Specify.		\boxtimes			

1. MUTCD definition of long-term work is occupying a location more than 3 days.

Additional Narrative for Not Significant Projects with issues identified above: