TMP CHECKLIST

Purpose: To make a preliminary determination of whether the following issues are present or should be considered during project development through a more detailed TMP.

Pro 12j0	oject Name and Number/PIN: Benning 606	ton B	F 100	00(20)/			
Initial Project Significance Level (as determined in Table 4): B (Due to detour and closure)								
Pro	oject Manager during Project Definitio	on:						
Naı	Name: Laura Stone Date:							
M o Nai	rect Manager Rob Young	at Pı	relim	inary		gn for Significant Projects): Date:		
Mo Nai	dified or Approved by (Project Manager me:	at Ps	S&E f	or Sig		ant Projects): Date:		
	oject Description (Location, Activity, Ant r project duration, 60 day road closure dura	_	ted D	uratio	on): B	ridge replacement project, 1		
		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments		
1.	Does the project require a long-term (greater than 3 days) ¹ lane or roadway/bridge closure?					A signed detour will be set up for the closure duration is in the current plans		
2.	Are there any restrictions or considerations regarding construction timeframes due to traffic concerns (e.g., time of day, site specific time of year limits)?							
3.	Can typical applications for traffic control be used? Are there any limitations to when typical applications can be used (time of year, times, days)?							
4.	Is there a sidewalk, pedestrian/bicycle lane, path, trail, or access that needs to be maintained during construction?					A signed pedestrian detour is in the plans.		
5.	Is a speed reduction proposed (consistent with state guidance)?							
6.	Will temporary roadways or additional width be needed on culverts, bridges, or shoulders to maintain traffic?		\boxtimes					
7.	Will construction impact access to businesses?					The detour will reroute traffic away from the normal main		

		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
						routes through town that may impact businesses.
8.	Are there other projects (utility, district maintenance, construction, municipal) in the area that should be coordinated or avoided?					None known at this time.
9.	Will/Can the traffic be reasonably detoured? If no or N/A, proceed to #10. If yes or possibly:					There is a signed detour plan in the current plans using VT 279 to US 7 back to VT 9.
	a. Is the detour route roadway type equivalent to closed roadway?	\boxtimes				
	b. Is the local alternate detour route in good condition?					Local traffic will use local streets near the project. Condition of local streets not known at this time. The signed detour is in good condition.
	c. Will the detour route have a detrimental impact on emergency vehicles, school buses, or other sensitive traffic?			\boxtimes		Coordination with emergency servies will be needed to coordinate best routes past or around the project area.
	d. Are there load limit restrictions on the detour?		\boxtimes			
	e. Are there bridge/culvert width or height restrictions on the detour?					
	f. Are modifications needed at intersections on detour/alternate routes?					Temporary signal will be installed at Beech Street intersection with VT 9 for Phase 3.
10.	Will traffic signal timing need to be adjusted for the project (with or without a detour)?			\boxtimes		Will need to evaluate traffic flow through the project. If long delays, may need to adjust signal timing.
11.	Are there truck facilities or routes that would be impacted by the project or by a detour (turning radii, weight restrictions, etc.)?					Trucks will need to follow the signed detour route. Local streets in project area may not meet minimum turning radii for large trucks.
12.	Are there special events or traffic generators (schools and bus routes, large employers, hospitals) that may be affected by the project and/or detour?			\boxtimes		
13.	Will the emergency vehicle routing, mail delivery, school bus routes, or trash services be interrupted by the project (with or without a detour)?		\boxtimes			Local and signed detours will inconvenience but not interrupt services.

	Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
14. Are there specific stakeholders to engage regarding the work zone impacts?	\boxtimes				Emergency Services, Town of Bennington, schools (bussing), local abutters, impacted businesses
15. Does the project occur within a high crash location?		\boxtimes			
16. Are there other maintenance of traffic issues to consider? Specify.					

^{1.} MUTCD definition of long-term work is occupying a location more than 3 days.

Additional Narrative for Projects with issues identified above:

<u>Traffic Operations</u>: A signed vehicle detour will direct traffic onto VT 289 to US 7 back to VT 9. A local signed pedestrian detour will be employed. Coordination during closures with emergency services will be important.

There may also be a need to temporary closure of Beech and Morgan Street for a short during during precast erection. The contract will stipulate permissible durations for this scenario. Coordination with the Town is needed.

<u>Public Information</u>: Communication with town services, emergency services, school bus routes, etc. will need to occur to ensure that best routes for emergency vehicles, school buses, and other municipal entities are communicated to the appropriate people.

<u>TTC</u>: VTrans standards, MUTCD, and the plans developed by the Contractor will drive the final traffic control. The plans do include a signed detour route, phasing plan, and pedestrian detour route for the Contractor's use.